PHYSICS

Sub-wavelength optical lattice in 2D materials

Supratik Sarkar¹†, Mahmoud Jalali Mehrabad¹†, Daniel G. Suárez-Forero¹†, Liuxin Gu^{2,3}†, Christopher J. Flower¹, Lida Xu¹, Kenji Watanabe⁴, Takashi Taniguchi⁵, Suji Park⁶, Houk Jang⁶, You Zhou^{2,3}*, Mohammad Hafezi¹*

Recently, light-matter interaction has been vastly expanded as a control tool for inducing and enhancing many emergent nonequilibrium phenomena. However, conventional schemes for exploring such light-induced phenomena rely on uniform and diffraction-limited free-space optics, which limits the spatial resolution and the efficiency of light-matter interaction. Here, we overcome these challenges using metasurface plasmon polaritons (MPPs) to form a sub-wavelength optical lattice. Specifically, we report a "nonlocal" pump-probe scheme where MPPs are excited to induce a spatially modulated AC Stark shift for excitons in a monolayer of MoSe₂, several microns away from the illumination spot. We identify nearly two orders of magnitude reduction for the required modulation power compared to the free-space optical illumination counterpart. Moreover, we demonstrate a broadening of the excitons' linewidth as a robust signature of MPP-induced periodic sub-diffraction modulation. Our results will allow exploring power-efficient light-induced lattice phenomena below the diffraction limit in active chip-compatible MPP architectures.

INTRODUCTION

Recent decades have witnessed a surge of interest in using light as a powerful tool to induce, control, and enhance exotic emergent nonequilibrium phenomena in quantum and topological materials (1, 2). For example, light has been widely used for inducing AC Stark shift (3-8), Floquet bands (9, 10), signatures of magnetization (11, 12), superconductivity (13), anomalous Hall effect (14), and melting gapped state into semimetallic ones (15). Common schemes for exploring such light-induced phenomena are based on diffractionlimited free-space optical spectroscopic techniques, which do not provide spatial patterns to imprint sub-wavelength lattice features (16). These methods fundamentally put a bound on the spatial resolution and the efficiency of light-matter interaction due to the limited confinement of free-space optical beams. Moreover, pump-probe spectroscopy of emergent light-induced phenomena typically is performed "locally," i.e., the pump and the probe illuminate and investigate the same spot. Such local pump-probe techniques cause unavoidable parasitic thermal and pump-induced noise, which necessitates complex heat management and pump-rejection methods (17). The development of innovative platforms and spectroscopy techniques to address these challenges remains an active area of research (18).

Concurrently, the emergence of emitter-integrated nano-plasmonics has enabled strong sub-wavelength confinement of optical fields for efficient light-matter interaction. Of particular interest are localized and propagating surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs), which are excitations at the metal-dielectric interface whose electromagnetic field decays exponentially with distance from the surface, offering remarkable control at near field (19–21). For example, active nano-plasmonic

*Corresponding author. Email: youzhou@umd.edu (Y.Z.); hafezi@umd.edu (M.H.) †These authors contributed equally to this work. devices have been recently used for strong light-matter coupling (22-26), probing dark excitons and cascaded energy transfer (27, 28) and directional emission (29). On the downside, these devices offer limited propagation length and functionalities, which motivated the development of metasurface plasmon polaritons (MPPs) (30-32) with superior performance. MPPs have enabled several interesting effects such as Purcell-enabled optical modulators (33), exciton manipulation (34), enhanced harmonic generation (35), and plasmon-exciton strong coupling (36, 37), which are explored with local optical spectroscopy.

Here, we design and realize an MPP-based nonlocal pump-probe scheme for inducing sub-wavelength optical lattices. Specifically, the excited MPPs imprint a one-dimensional (1D) lattice for excitons, resulting from a spatially modulated AC Stark shift.

Concept

Figure 1A illustrates our scheme. The metasurface device consists of a 1D array of silver nano-grooves and is designed to support a 1D lattice of MPPs with negligible diffraction around wavelengths close to the excitonic resonance. A pump laser (marked in red) is coupled into the MPP modes through the top coupler. The traveling MPPs form a sub-diffraction periodic potential for the MoSe₂ monolayer, whose exciton resonance lies at a wavelength ~750 nm. A broadband beam (marked in white) probes the modulation of the transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) excitons at a spot distant from the top coupler.

Figure 1C shows the normalized electric field intensity $|E|^2$ in the *x-z* plane (shown only for one groove) at 800 nm obtained from finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations. The electric field intensity is maximum at hotspots near the sharp corners of the air-silver interface and decays exponentially with distance from the surface; this is a characteristic feature of SPPs. The TMD is located ~10 nm above the surface, as marked by the dashed line in Fig. 1B. The red curve shows the electric field intensity $|E|^2$ at the monolayer's position. Due to this sub-diffraction variation of $|E|^2$, the excitons experience a position-dependent AC stark shift: Those located at the local maxima of $|E|^2$ experience a larger blue shift compared to other excitons (represented by the dark and light blue ellipses in

¹Joint Quantum Institute (JQI), University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. ²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA. ³Maryland Quantum Materials Center, College Park, MD 20742, USA. ⁴Research Center for Electronic and Optical Materials, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan. ⁵Research Center for Functional Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan. ⁶Center for Functional Nanomaterials, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA.

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 1. Sub-diffraction nonlocal pump-probe spectroscopy of excitons. (**A**) Scheme for inducing AC Stark shift on a MoSe₂ monolayer using metasurface plasmon polaritons (MPPs) in a 1D lattice of silver grooves. The periodically modulated MPP modes are excited with a pump laser (red beam) at the top coupler (TC). The MPP device is designed to strongly confine the plasmonic modes, which propagate in the *y* direction of the lattice with negligible diffraction within 700 to 800 nm, which is close to the excitonic resonance of ~750 nm in MoSe₂. A secondary broadband laser (white beam) probes the MoSe₂ excitons. (**B**) Schematic of the device cross-section, including the hBN-encapsulated MoSe₂ on the silver grooves. The periodicity of the lattice is *a* = 150 nm, the height is *h* = 160 nm, and the width is *w* = 90 nm. The red curve shows the strong $|E|^2$ modulation at the position of the MoSe₂ monolayer for a pump of 800 nm. Excitons present at positions of peak electric field intensity observe larger blue shifts compared to the ones near the center of the groove. (**C**) Normalized electric field intensity $|E|^2$ at the *x-z* cross section of the device. The position of the TMD is marked by the horizontal dashed line, which is 10 nm above the surface. (**D**) Iso-frequency contours of the MPP device for different wavelengths of MPPs showing suppressed diffraction in the range of 700 to 800 nm. (**E** to **G**) Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations comparing SPP propagation loss over a distance of 3 µm on a 2D sheet of silver, a single groove waveguide, and the proposed MPP device at 800 nm, respectively. In (E) to (G), a dipole source placed 10 nm above the surface is used to excite the SPPs.

Fig. 1B; see the Supplementary Text for detailed study of spatial dependence of $|E|^2$ and the full scheme of the experimental setup).

The diffractionless propagation of MPPs is a fundamental advantage for our nonlocal AC Stark shift scheme. To understand this feature, we calculate the dispersion of the device using the FDTD method. Figure 1D shows the iso-frequency contours of the device for different wavelengths. Here, k_x and k_y are the wave vectors along the x and y directions. Notably, at wavelengths of \sim 700 to 800 nm, the MPPs propagate with maximally suppressed diffraction. Moreover, for lower wavelengths (blue lines), the dispersion is anisotropic and hyperbolic with a negative angle of refraction, whereas it is elliptical with a positive angle of refraction for longer wavelengths (red line). Our numerical simulations closely follow the analytical dispersion relationship of the MPP device given by the coupled waveguide formalism under a slowly varying amplitude approximation (38, 39): $k_y = k_{wg} + 2t\cos(k_x a)$, where k_{wg} is the propagation constant of the MPP waveguide lattice and t is the tunneling amplitude between nearest-neighbor grooves in the lattice (see the Supplementary Text for details). This behavior is analogous to the recent observation of canalized polaritons originating from flat isofrequency contours in twisted MoO_3 heterostructures (40, 41).

Moreover, the choice of an MPP device structure over other conventional plasmonic platforms such as 2D sheets and single grooves provides a noticeable advantage in long-distance power delivery due to their diffractionless propagation. To confirm this, the propagation of the excitation for each case is shown in Fig. 1 (E to G). Over a propagation length of 3 μ m, the MPP device has ~5- and ~50-fold enhanced power transmission compared to its single groove and 2D sheet counterparts, respectively. Details of the simulations and a more comprehensive analysis of the wavelength-dependent operation of our device can be found in the Supplementary Text.

RESULTS

MPP device characterization

Figure 2A shows a high-resolution scanning electron microscopy image of a representative MPP device, which is fabricated on a 500-nm-thick silver layer sputtered on a silicon carbide substrate. Each device consists of 40 silver grooves (each 20 μ m long) and has a top coupler and a bottom coupler on each end of the metasurface. The top and bottom left insets show the top coupler-MPP device interface and a cross section of the waveguides, respectively. To prevent the silver from oxidation, the chip is coated with a 5-nm-thick layer of Al₂O₃. Further details of the fabrication are available in the Supplementary Text.

We begin by characterizing the transmission spectrum of the device before stacking the TMD on the top, by coupling a broadband laser into one of the couplers and measuring the output from the other. The results are shown in Fig. 2B. The transmission is maximum for a wavelength of ~800 nm, and it decreases as a function of the detuning, in agreement with the simulated iso-frequency contours (Fig. 1D). The inset shows the simulated normalized transmission spectrum for the device monitored after 3-µm propagation of the MPPs. At greater detuning from the peak at ~800 nm, the measured transmission is smaller than in the simulation, because,



Fig. 2. MPP device fabrication and characterization. (A) High-resolution scanning electron microscopy images of representative fabricated MPP device. A 1D array of grooves is terminated with a top coupler (TC) and a bottom coupler (BC) to in-couple and out-couple the propagating MPPs. The top left inset shows a close-up view of the TC and the grooves. The bottom left inset shows a perspective view with a cross section of the grooves. (B) The measured transmission spectrum (normalized by the broadband input laser spectrum) of the MPP device, shows a transmission peak around the pump wavelength of 800 nm. Norm. Tx., normalized transmission. The inset shows the simulated transmission spectrum. (C) The spatial pattern of the emission from the output coupler for three different wavelengths: 770, 796, and 828 nm. The red dashed line shows the position of the pump laser. (D) A false-color microscope image of the device after stacking the MoSe₂ monolayer. TC, BC, and the MPP device are marked by dashed boxes.

at large diffraction angles, the MPPs do not successfully reach the bottom coupler. The asymmetric nature of the normalized transmission can be attributed to the longer propagation lengths for the elliptical MPPs (31). We further note the observation of oscillations in the transmission spectra, possibly originating from the confinement of light by the ends of the 20-µm-long MPP device forming a Fabry-Perot mode. To experimentally characterize the diffraction in the MPP device, we measure the spatial pattern of the emission from the output coupler for three different wavelengths as shown in Fig. 2C. The red dashed line shows the position of the pump laser at the input coupler. We observe diffracted outputs at wavelengths of 770 and 828 nm, whereas there is minimal diffraction at 796 nm, which is very close to our designed pump wavelength. Simulation and details of this wavelength-dependent behavior are available in the Supplementary Text. After the initial MPP device characterizations, we complete the integrated device by stacking the hBNencapsulated MoSe₂ monolayer on the MPP device. Figure 2D shows a false-color microscope image after the stacking procedure.

Nonlocal and local AC Stark shift measurements

To demonstrate the sub-diffraction nonlocal AC Stark shift scheme introduced in Fig. 1, we excite the MPP modes using a 150-fs pulsed laser at 800-nm wavelength, as shown schematically in Fig. 3A. The pump excites the MPPs in several grooves that lie under our diffraction-limited pump (see the Supplementary Text for a discussion on the multi-groove excitation). They travel along the *y* direction of the device and modulate the MoSe₂ monolayer located on top of the MPP device. The excited MPPs have a spatial periodic intensity profile with sharp features below the diffraction limit (see the Supplementary Text for simulations of the mode profiles). To probe the AC Stark shift induced by the MPPs, we perform absorption spectroscopy using a weak pulsed broadband laser placed 3 μ m away from the pump in a reflection configuration. We note that the pump is red detuned by ~50 nm from the excitonic energy to prevent photoluminescence.

Figure 3B shows the normalized reflectivity spectrum as a function of pump-probe temporal delay Δt . Positive Δt refers to the probe reaching the sample before the pump, and vice versa. We observe an AC Stark shift of ~0.65 nm at $\Delta t = 0$. The white line shows the extracted exciton wavelength from the numerical fitting (see the Supplementary Text for the detail of the numerical fitting). In the data presented in Fig. 3B, we pump the top coupler with 100 mW of power to create the MPPs. Taking into account the estimated efficiency of the device, this translates to an "MPP pump" power of 0.33 mW at the position of the TMD under the probe. The complete analysis of the power efficiency, as well as pump power and pump detuning dependence of the AC Stark shift, is presented in the Supplementary Text.

Next, we confirm the superior power efficiency of our nonlocal pump-probe scheme, which arises from MPP-induced strong field confinement, by considering the optical power required to generate the same AC Stark shift using the conventional local pump-probe counterpart. In the local case, the pump and the probe are spatially overlapped as shown in Fig. 3D. We perform the local AC Stark shift measurement on a TMD placed on an unpatterned silver substrate (device D2) as shown in Fig. 3E. We observe an identical AC shift of ~0.65 nm using ~25 mW of pump power. When compared to the MPP-induced nonlocal AC Stark shift with an effective pump power of 0.33 mW, the nonlocal AC Stark shift scheme requires ~90 times less pump power to observe a comparable AC Stark shift for the same detuning (see the Supplementary Text for details). Numerically, we estimate that the maximum field enhancement at the position



Fig. 3. Nonlocal modification of exciton energy with MPPs. (A) Schematic of our nonlocal AC Stark shift measurement scheme that uses a sub-diffraction spatially modulated MPP pump. The device is pumped at the top coupler to generate MPP modes in the metasurface. The MoSe₂ is probed ~3 μ m away from the pump. (B) Nonlocal AC Stark shift was measured in device D1, while the delay between the pump and probe pulses was varied. The white lines show the extracted exciton peak wavelength from numerical fitting. Norm. Refl., normalized reflectivity. (C) The extracted change in linewidth $\Delta\Gamma$ of the exciton extracted from the numerical fitting of the nonlocal AC Stark shift data. (D) Schematic of traditional local AC Stark shift measurement scheme that uses a diffraction-limited Gaussian optical pump. (E) Local AC Stark shift measured in device D2 (MoSe₂ on silver substrate). (F) The change in linewidth $\Delta\Gamma$ of the exciton extracted from the numerical fitting of the local AC Stark shift data.

of the TMD for our nonlocal scheme can be as high as 130 times the diffraction-limited optical pump intensity (see the Supplementary Text for detailed calculation). The slight discrepancy between the experimental observation and numerical estimate is likely due to fabrication imperfections like surface roughness, rounded groove corners, and deviation of the TMD position from its nominal value in the z direction. Moreover, this remarkable observed enhancement is further substantiated by measuring a similar nonlocal AC Stark shift effect on a different device, which highlights the robustness of our scheme (see the data for device D4 in the Supplementary Text). Furthermore, we confirm that the MPP-enabled strong field confinement is central to our scheme compared to 2D sheets (discussed earlier in Fig. 1, E to G). To achieve this, we perform the same nonlocal scheme on a similar TMD device placed on a 2D sheet. Using an identical pump power strength, no AC Stark shift is observed in this case (see the nonlocal measurement of device D2 in the Supplementary Text).

We demonstrate another intriguing optical lattice-induced effect, in the form of an excitonic linewidth broadening, presented in Fig. 3C. The excitons under our diffraction-limited probe laser experience a strong spatial variation of the electric field intensity of the MPPs as shown in Fig. 3A. This periodic sub-diffraction modulation of electric field intensity (which can vary as strongly as ~50% as shown in the inset of Fig. 3A) maps to a periodic modification of

AC Stark shift of excitons. Excitons located at the maximum of the electric field intensity experience greater blue shift compared to excitons in their vicinity. This leads to inhomogeneous AC Stark shift of the excitons, thereby resulting in a linewidth broadening of $\sim 1 \text{ nm}$ at $\Delta t = 0$. A theoretical model for the expected linewidth broadening resulting from the spatial profile of the electric field intensity is provided in the Supplementary Text and closely matches our experimental observations. This observation is in sharp contrast with the local counterpart, where there is no noticeable change in the linewidth at $\Delta t = 0$ (Fig. 3F) (for a similar observation and discussion for a TMD monolayer placed on an unpatterned silica substrate, see the data for the device D3 in the Supplementary Text).

DISCUSSION

In summary, we demonstrated a TMD-integrated plasmonic metasurface approach for efficient modulation of excitons below the diffraction limit. Due to its intrinsic nonlocal pump-probe character (42), our platform provides a useful protocol for spatial on-chip pump rejection as well as suppressing parasitic thermal effects (17). More generally, our results suggest that lattice SPPs in MPP device architectures can become an innovative and versatile toolbox to actively manipulate matter excitations below the diffraction limit.

Beyond our exemplary AC Stark shift case, a wide range of other light-induced phenomena can be investigated below the diffraction limit with superior power efficiency and pump noise suppression. This includes light-induced magnetization (11), superconductivity (43), quantum Hall effects (14), electronic polarization (44), polariton modulators (45), and plasmonic effects in van der Waals heterostructures (46, 47). Another intriguing direction is the sub-wavelength periodic modulation of materials and matter excitations harnessing the on-demand spectral and spatial tunability of our MPPs. An example includes optical imprinting of lattices (16), where the optical drive forms a lattice structure in space. The lattice nature of MPPs also may enable engineering chiral light-matter interaction (34) using plasmonic spin Hall states (31). Moreover, the sub-diffraction spatial modulation and the electric field confinement can be probed using near-field optical microscopy for a better understanding of the MPP properties and allow further innovative integration with solidstate emitters (18, 48-50).

In addition to light-induced phenomena, our platform could be useful for cavity-induced physics (2, 21, 51, 52). In particular, the strong spatial confinement of light in such plasmonic structures combined with the hyperbolic photonic modes of the lattice can lead to intriguing phenomena such as induced long-range interactions between distant emitters (53-55).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

FDTD numerical simulations

The electromagnetic field profiles and iso-frequency contour simulations were performed using the FDTD method in Lumerical. A dipole placed 10 nm above the surface was used to excite the MPP modes of interest in the simulations, unless otherwise specified. The propagating MPPs were monitored using frequency domain monitors to extract quantities like propagation loss, coupling efficiency to gratings, field confinement, and far-field radiation pattern. The iso-frequency contours were calculated by performing Fourier transformations of the simulated field profiles in the *x-y* plane over a large area.

Device fabrication

The single-crystalline silver films (500 nm thick) and buffer layer platinum film were deposited by DC sputtering on a SiC wafer, similar to the process reported in (*31*). Next, the devices were patterned on the silver by electron beam lithography with an Al_2O_3 hard mask, followed by reactive ion etching and plasma etching. After etching, another thin layer of Al_2O_3 is deposited on the devices to prevent the silver from oxidizing. After initial characterization of the plasmonic devices, the hBN-MoSe₂-hBN heterostructure was transferred onto the device using the standard dry transfer method with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and polycarbonate (PC) stamps. Complete details of the device fabrication are available in the Supplementary Text.

Experimental setup

The sample was mounted in a Montana cryostat at 4 K. For reflectivity measurements, we used a confocal microscopy setup. A Ti:Sapphire laser tuned at 800 nm, with a pulse duration of 150 fs and a repetition rate of 80 MHz, was used as the pump. The pump beam was split with a polarizing beam splitter, and one branch of it was sent into a supercontinuum generation nonlinear fiber to create a broadband probe pulse. Meanwhile, the other branch was sent to a

motorized delay stage. This allowed us to control the relative path length difference between the pump and the probe pulses up to a precision of 100 nm. Next, the pump and the generated broadband probe beams were sent through an optical chopper operating at 1 kHz. This was to prevent heating the sample while having a high pump peak intensity. The chopper was placed at the focal spot of a telescope such that both pump and probe were focused on the same spot at the chopper. A half-wave plate linear polarizer combination was used to cross-polarize the residual pump before detection of the signal in a spectrometer with a diffraction grating of 300 grooves per millimeter, and a charge-coupled device camera. A detailed schematic of the experimental setup is available in the Supplementary Text.

Supplementary Materials

This PDF file includes: Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S22 References

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. De La Torre, D. M. Kennes, M. Claassen, S. Gerber, J. W. McIver, M. A. Sentef, Colloquium: Nonthermal pathways to ultrafast control in quantum materials. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 93, 041002 (2021).
- J. Bloch, A. Cavalleri, V. Galitski, M. Hafezi, A. Rubio, Strongly correlated electron-photon systems. *Nature* 606, 41–48 (2022).
- E. J. Sie, J. W. McIver, Y. H. Lee, L. Fu, J. Kong, N. Gedik, Valley-selective optical Stark effect in monolayer WS₂. *Nat. Mater.* 14, 290–294 (2015).
- J. Kim, X. Hong, C. Jin, S.-F. Shi, C.-Y. S. Chang, M.-H. Chiu, L.-J. Li, F. Wang, Ultrafast generation of pseudo-magnetic field for valley excitons in WSe₂ monolayers. *Science* 346, 1205–1208 (2014).
- T. Uto, B. Evrard, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, A. İmamoğlu, Interaction-induced ac Stark shift of exciton-polaron resonances. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 056901 (2024).
- B. Evrard, H. S. Adlong, A. A. Ghita, T. Uto, L. Ciorciaro, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, A. Imamoğlu, Nonlinear spectroscopy of semiconductor moiré materials. arXiv:2402.16630 [cond-mat.str-el] (2024).
- P. D. Cunningham, A. T. Hanbicki, T. L. Reinecke, K. M. McCreary, B. T. Jonker, Resonant optical Stark effect in monolayer WS₂. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5539 (2019).
- T. LaMountain, J. Nelson, E. J. Lenferink, S. H. Amsterdam, A. A. Murthy, H. Zeng, T. J. Marks, V. P. Dravid, M. C. Hersam, N. P. Stern, Valley-selective optical Stark effect of exciton-polaritons in a monolayer semiconductor. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4530 (2021).
- Y. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, N. Gedik, Observation of Floquet-Bloch states on the surface of a topological insulator. *Science* 342, 453–457 (2013).
- M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 2, 229–244 (2020).
- X. Wang, C. Xiao, H. Park, J. Zhu, C. Wang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Yan, D. Xiao, D. R. Gamelin, W. Yao, X. Xu, Light-induced ferromagnetism in moiré superlattices. *Nature* 604, 468–473 (2022).
- A. S. Disa, J. Curtis, M. Fechner, A. Liu, A. von Hoegen, M. Först, T. F. Nova, P. Narang, A. Maljuk, A. V. Boris, B. Keimer, A. Cavalleri, Photo-induced high-temperature ferromagnetism in YTiO₃. *Nature* **617**, 73–78 (2023).
- E. Rowe, B. Yuan, M. Buzzi, G. Jotzu, Y. Zhu, M. Fechner, M. Först, B. Liu, D. Pontiroli, M. Riccò, A. Cavalleri, Resonant enhancement of photo-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀. *Nat. Phys.* **19**, 1821–1826 (2023).
- J. W. McIver, B. Schulte, F. U. Stein, T. Matsuyama, G. Jotzu, G. Meier, A. Cavalleri, Light-induced anomalous Hall effect in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* 16, 38–41 (2020).
- M. Huber, Y. Lin, G. Marini, L. Moreschini, C. Jozwiak, A. Bostwick, M. Calandra, A. Lanzara, Ultrafast creation of a light-induced semimetallic state in strongly excited 1T-TiSe₂. *Sci. Adv.* 10, eadl4481 (2024).
- H. Kim, H. Dehghani, H. Aoki, I. Martin, M. Hafezi, Optical imprinting of superlattices in two-dimensional materials. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 2, 043004 (2020).
- P. Malý, J. Ravensbergen, J. T. M. Kennis, R. van Grondelle, R. Croce, T. Mančal, B. van Oort, Polarization-controlled optimal scatter suppression in transient absorption spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43484 (2017).
- S. Zhang, B. Li, X. Chen, F. L. Ruta, Y. Shao, A. J. Sternbach, A. S. McLeod, Z. Sun, L. Xiong, S. L. Moore, X. Xu, W. Wu, S. Shabani, L. Zhou, Z. Wang, F. Mooshammer, E. Ray, N. Wilson,

P. J. Schuck, C. R. Dean, A. N. Pasupathy, M. Lipson, X. Xu, X. Zhu, A. J. Millis, M. Liu, J. C. Hone, D. N. Basov, Nano-spectroscopy of excitons in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 542 (2022).

- D. K. Gramotnev, S. I. Bozhevolnyi, Plasmonics beyond the diffraction limit. *Nat. Photonics* 4, 83–91 (2010).
- N. I. Zheludev, G. Yuan, Optical superoscillation technologies beyond the diffraction limit. Nat. Rev. Phys. 4, 16–32 (2022).
- D. N. Basov, M. M. Fogler, F. J. García de Abajo, Polaritons in van der Waals materials. Science 354, aag1992 (2016).
- B. Lee, W. Liu, C. H. Naylor, J. Park, S. C. Malek, J. S. Berger, A. T. C. Johnson, R. Agarwal, Electrical tuning of exciton–plasmon polariton coupling in monolayer MoS₂ integrated with plasmonic nanoantenna lattice. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 4541–4547 (2017).
- M. Zhang, Y. Tian, X. Chen, Z. Sun, X. Zhu, J. Wu, Observation of ultra-large Rabi splitting in the plasmon-exciton polaritons at room temperature. *Nanophotonics* 12, 3267–3275 (2023).
- S. Wang, S. Li, T. Chervy, A. Shalabney, S. Azzini, E. Orgiu, J. A. Hutchison, C. Genet, P. Samorì, T. W. Ebbesen, Coherent coupling of WS₂ monolayers with metallic photonic nanostructures at room temperature. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 4368–4374 (2016).
- Y. Niu, H. Xu, H. Wei, Unified scattering and photoluminescence spectra for strong plasmon-exciton coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 167402 (2022).
- D. Zheng, S. Zhang, Q. Deng, M. Kang, P. Nordlander, H. Xu, Manipulating coherent plasmon–exciton interaction in a single silver nanorod on monolayer WSe₂. Nano Lett. 17, 3809–3814 (2017).
- Y. Zhou, G. Scuri, D. S. Wild, A. A. High, A. Dibos, L. A. Jauregui, C. Shu, K. De Greve, K. Pistunova, A. Y. Joe, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, P. Kim, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, Probing dark excitons in atomically thin semiconductors via near-field coupling to surface plasmon polaritons. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 856–860 (2017).
- J. Shi, M. H. Lin, I. T. Chen, N. Mohammadi Estakhri, X. Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Y. Chen, C. A. Chen, C. K. Shih, A. Alù, X. Li, Y. H. Lee, S. Gwo, Cascaded exciton energy transfer in a monolayer semiconductor lateral heterostructure assisted by surface plasmon polariton. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 35 (2017).
- Z. Li, X. Y. Zhang, R. Ma, T. Fu, Y. Zeng, C. Hu, Y. Cheng, C. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Feng, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, T. Wang, X. Liu, H. Xu, Versatile optical manipulation of trions, dark excitons and biexcitons through contrasting exciton-photon coupling. *Light Sci. Appl.* **12**, 295 (2023).
- A. Poddubny, I. Iorsh, P. Belov, Y. Kivshar, Hyperbolic metamaterials. Nat. Photonics 7, 948–957 (2013).
- A. A. High, R. C. Devlin, A. Dibos, M. Polking, D. S. Wild, J. Perczel, N. P. de Leon, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, Visible-frequency hyperbolic metasurface. *Nature* 522, 192–196 (2015).
- J. S. Gomez-Diaz, M. Tymchenko, A. Alu, Hyperbolic plasmons and topological transitions over uniaxial metasurfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 233901 (2015).
- Q. Li, J. H. Song, F. Xu, J. van de Groep, J. Hong, A. Daus, Y. J. Lee, A. C. Johnson, E. Pop, F. Liu, M. L. Brongersma, A Purcell-enabled monolayer semiconductor free-space optical modulator. *Nat. Photonics* 17, 897–903 (2023).
- L. Sun, C. Y. Wang, A. Krasnok, J. Choi, J. Shi, J. S. Gomez-Diaz, A. Zepeda, S. Gwo, C. K. Shih, A. Alù, X. Li, Separation of valley excitons in a MoS₂ monolayer using a subwavelength asymmetric groove array. *Nat. Photonics* **13**, 180–184 (2019).
- J. Shi, W.-Y. Liang, S. S. Raja, Y. Sang, X.-Q. Zhang, C.-A. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Yang, Y.-H. Lee, H. Ahn, S. Gwo, Plasmonic enhancement and manipulation of optical nonlinearity in monolayer tungsten disulfide. *Laser Photon. Rev.* **12**, 1800188 (2018).
- M.-W. Yu, S. Ishii, S. Li, J.-R. Ku, J.-H. Yang, K.-L. Su, T. Taniguchi, T. Nagao, K.-P. Chen, Quantifying photoinduced carriers transport in exciton–polariton coupling of MoS₂ monolayers. npj 2D. *Mater. Appl.* 5, 47 (2021).
- Y. Zhang, H.-J. Schill, S. Irsen, S. Linden, Strong coupling between WS₂ monolayer excitons and a hybrid plasmon polariton at room temperature. *Nanophotonics* 13, 2847–2856 (2024).
- H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morandotti, J. S. Aitchison, Diffraction management. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1863–1866 (2000).
- X. Fan, G. P. Wang, J. C. W. Lee, C. T. Chan, All-angle broadband negative refraction of metal waveguide arrays in the visible range: Theoretical analysis and numerical demonstration. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 073901 (2006).
- J. Duan, G. Álvarez-Pérez, C. Lanza, K. Voronin, A. I. F. Tresguerres-Mata, N. Capote-Robayna, J. Álvarez-Cuervo, A. Tarazaga Martín-Luengo, J. Martín-Sánchez, V. S. Volkov, A. Y. Nikitin, P. Alonso-González, Multiple and spectrally robust photonic magic angles in reconfigurable α-MoO₃ trilayers. *Nat. Mater.* 22, 867–872 (2023).
- M. Obst, T. Nörenberg, G. Álvarez-Pérez, T. V. A. G. de Oliveira, J. Taboada-Gutiérrez, F. H. Feres, F. G. Kaps, O. Hatem, A. Luferau, A. Y. Nikitin, J. M. Klopf, P. Alonso-González, S. C. Kehr, L. M. Eng, Terahertz twistoptics–engineering canalized phonon polaritons. *ACS Nano* 17, 19313–19322 (2023).
- A. Pizzuto, E. Castro-Camus, W. Wilson, W. Choi, X. Li, D. M. Mittleman, Nonlocal time-resolved terahertz spectroscopy in the near field. ACS photonics 8, 2904–2911 (2021).
- H. Dehghani, M. Hafezi, P. Ghaemi, Light-induced topological superconductivity via Floquet interaction engineering. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 3, 023039 (2021).

- X. Zhang, T. Carbin, A. B. Culver, K. du, K. Wang, S. W. Cheong, R. Roy, A. Kogar, Light-induced electronic polarization in antiferromagnetic Cr₂O₃. *Nat. Mater.* 23, 790–795 (2024).
- S. W. Lee, J. S. Lee, W. H. Choi, D. Choi, S.-H. Gong, Ultra-compact exciton polariton modulator based on van der Waals semiconductors. *Nat. Commun.* 15, 2331 (2024).
- G. Kipp, H. M. Bretscher, B. Schulte, D. Herrmann, K. Kusyak, M. W. Day, S. Kesavan, T. Matsuyama, X. Li, S. M. Langner, J. Hagelstein, F. Sturm, A. M. Potts, C. J. Eckhardt, Y. Huang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Rubio, D. M. Kennes, M. A. Sentef, E. Baudin, G. Meier, M. H. Michael, J. W. McIver, Cavity electrodynamics of van der Waals heterostructures. arXiv:2403.19745 [cond-mat.mes-hall] (2024).
- F. Tabataba-Vakili, L. Krelle, L. Husel, H. P. G. Nguyen, Z. Li, I. Bilgin, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Högele, Metasurface of strongly coupled excitons and plasmonic arrays. arXiv:2402.09037 [physics.optics] (2024).
- K. Frischwasser, K. Cohen, J. Kher-Alden, S. Dolev, S. Tsesses, G. Bartal, Real-time sub-wavelength imaging of surface waves with nonlinear near-field optical microscopy. *Nat. Photonics* 15, 442–448 (2021).
- 49. T. Vincent, Scanning near-field infrared microscopy. Nat. Rev. Phys. 3, 537 (2021).
- E. Betzig, J. K. Trautman, Near-field optics: Microscopy, spectroscopy, and surface modification beyond the diffraction limit. *Science* 257, 189–195 (1992).
- F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, M. A. Sentef, Cavity quantum materials. Appl. Phys. Rev. 9, 011312 (2022).
- F. J. Garcia-Vidal, C. Ciuti, T. W. Ebbesen, Manipulating matter by strong coupling to vacuum fields. *Science* 373, eabd0336 (2021).
- C. L. Cortes, Z. Jacob, Super-Coulombic atom–atom interactions in hyperbolic media. Nat. Commun. 8, 14144 (2017).
- A. K. Boddeti, Y. Wang, X. G. Juarez, A. Boltasseva, T. W. Odom, V. Shalaev, H. Alaeian, Z. Jacob, Reducing effective system dimensionality with long-range collective dipole-dipole interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 173803 (2024).
- 55. E. E. Narimanov, E. A. Demler, Hyperbolic quantum processor. arXiv:2412.14098 [quant-ph] (2024).
- P. Li, G. Hu, I. Dolado, M. Tymchenko, C. W. Qiu, F. J. Alfaro-Mozaz, F. Casanova, L. E. Hueso, S. Liu, J. H. Edgar, S. Vélez, A. Alu, R. Hillenbrand, Collective near-field coupling and nonlocal phenomena in infrared-phononic metasurfaces for nano-light canalization. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3663 (2020).
- A. Yariv, P. Yeh, Photonics: Optical Electronics in Modern Communications (Oxford Univ. Press, 2007), vol. 6.
- T. Pertsch, T. Zentgraf, U. Peschel, A. Bräuer, F. Lederer, Anomalous refraction and diffraction in discrete optical systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 093901 (2002).
- M. Conforti, M. Guasoni, C. De Angelis, Subwavelength diffraction management. *Opt. Lett.* 33, 2662–2664 (2008).
- A. Locatelli, M. Conforti, D. Modotto, C. De Angelis, Diffraction engineering in arrays of photonic crystal waveguides. *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 2894–2896 (2005).
- Q. Cheng, Y. Pan, Q. Wang, T. Li, S. Zhu, Topologically protected interface mode in plasmonic waveguide arrays. *Laser Photon. Rev.* 9, 392–398 (2015).
- D. A. Steck, Quantum and Atom Optics http://steck.us/teaching(revision 0.8.3) [accessed 25 May 2012].

Acknowledgments: We wish to acknowledge fruitful discussions with A. Sternbach, Z. Jacob, A. Grankin, T.-S. Huang, Y. Wang, D. Session, and N. Martin. Funding: S.S., M.J.M., D.G.S.-F., C.J.F., L.X., and M.H. were supported by ARO W911NF2510066, DARPA HR00112530313, and HR00112490310. L.G. and Y.Z. were supported by ARO W911NF2510066, the National Science Foundation (NSF) DMR-2145712, and the Department of Energy (DOE) DE-SC-0022885 grants. This research used Quantum Material Press (QPress) of the Center for Functional Nanomaterials (CFN), which is a US Department of Energy, Office of Science User Facility, at Brookhaven National Laboratory under contract no. DE-SC0012704. K.W. and T.T. acknowledge support from the JSPS KAKENHI (grant nos. 20H00354, 21H05233, and 23H02052) and World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan, for hBN synthesis. Author contributions: S.S., M.J.M., Y.Z., and M.H. conceived and designed the experiments. S.S. and M.J.M. performed the simulations. K.W., T.T., S.P., and H.J. supplied the necessary material for the fabrication of the sample. L.G. fabricated the samples. S.S. performed the experiments with assistance from D.G.S.-F. and M.J.M. S.S., M.J.M., and D.G.S.-F. analyzed the data and interpreted the results. S.S. and M.J.M. wrote the manuscript, with input from all authors. Y.Z. and M.H. supervised all work. Competing interests: S.S., M.J.M., Y.Z., and M.H. are applying for a patent related to the device discussed here. The authors declare that they have no other competing interests. Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The data can also be accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14803534.

Submitted 23 December 2024 Accepted 24 February 2025 Published 26 March 2025 10.1126/sciadv.adv2023

Science Advances

Supplementary Materials for

Sub-wavelength optical lattice in 2D materials

Supratik Sarkar et al.

Corresponding author: You Zhou, youzhou@umd.edu; Mohammad Hafezi, hafezi@umd.edu

Sci. Adv. **11**, eadv2023 (2025) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adv2023

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S22 References

Supplementary Text

Device fabrication

Single-crystalline silver films deposition:

The single-crystalline silver films and buffer layer platinum film were deposited by DC sputtering (AJA International 1800) on a SiC wafer, similar to the process reported in Ref. (*31*). Prior to the deposition, the SiC chip was first cleaned by sonicating in acetone and isopropyl alcohol respectively for 5 minutes each, followed by soaking in Piranha solution (3:1 sulfuric acid: hydrogen peroxide) for 5 minutes to remove all organic residuals. Next, the chip was soaked in 49% hydrofluoric acid for 3 minutes and then put into boiled DI water for 10 minutes to remove any residual chemical bonds on the surface. Then, the chip was transferred to a sputtering chamber immediately. The base pressure for the sputtering deposition was 1×10^{-7} Torr. The deposition was done at room temperature (25°C). The deposited thickness of Pt and Ag film (Pt 100 nm, Ag 500 nm) was calculated based on the deposition rate.

Plasmonic metasurface fabrication process:

After the silver deposition, 5 nm of Al_2O_3 hard mask was deposited on top of the chip via the atomic layer deposition (ALD) method at 90°C. The thickness was confirmed with a Woollam Spectroscopic Ellipsometer. Then we performed electron beam lithography on the chip using 1:1 ZEP-520A:Anisole as the resist. Next, we grow 90 nm Al_2O_3 on top by ALD at 110°C on the developed device. The hard mask layer was then dry etched by RIE etching with a mixture of BCl₃ and Cl₂ gases. After etching off the alumina, the chip was soaked in remover PG for 40 minutes to remove the resist residue. Then, all the patterns were transferred onto the underlying 5 nm Al_2O_3 mask. We then transferred the pattern from the Al_2O_3 hard mask to the Ag film underneath by a high DC voltage (720 V) argon plasma etching. After the etching process, we soaked the whole chip in 49% hydrofluoric acid to remove the residue of alumina. Then the chip was deposited with another 5 nm Al_2O_3 to protect the Ag surface from oxidization in the later stages.

hBN flakes were mechanically exfoliated from the bulk crystals onto the silicon chip with a SiO₂ layer on top. Exfoliated MoSe₂ flakes were provided by the Quantum material press (QPress) facility in the Center for Functional Nanomaterials (CFN) at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). The thickness of the hBN flakes and MoSe₂ layer numbers are estimated based on the color contrast under optical microscopy. The 5 nm hBN (spacer)/ monolayer MoSe₂/ 10 nm hBN (for encapsulation) heterostructure was assembled in a transfer station built by Everbeing Int'l Corp. PDMS (Polydimethylsiloxane) and PC (Polycarbonate) was used to stamp and transfer all the flakes in a dry transfer method onto the fabricated device chip at 180°C.

Experimental setup

Figure S1 shows a schematic of our experimental setup. The inset shows an image of the sample. For non-local AC Stark shift measurements, the linearly polarized pump is focused on the top coupler (TC). The TMD is probed ~ 3 μ m away from the pump. For local Stark shift measurements, the pump and probe lie on the spot of the device.

Wavelength-dependent behavior of the MPP device

We simulate the wavelength-dependent behavior of the MPP device using the FDTD method. We use a dipole aligned along the y-axis, located 10 nm above the surface to excite the MPP modes in the structure at in-plane coordinates $(x, y) = (0, -0.5)\mu$ m. The period of the MPP device is a = 150nm, the height is h = 160 nm, and the width is w = 90 nm which corresponds to a duty cycle of 0.6. Row 1 of Fig. S2 shows the normalized electric field intensity in the x-y plane monitored at 10 nm above the surface over a propagation length of 3 μ m for wavelengths ranging from 400-1200 nm. We observe that the MPPs have negligible diffraction for the wavelength range 700-800 nm (*31*). Row 2 shows the Stokes parameter $S_3 = i(E_x E_z^* - E_z E_x^*)$ map corresponding to row 1, which shows us the degree of circular polarization of the MPPs as they propagate. We observe that the MPPs exhibit distinct and spatially resolved circularly polarized patterns. Moreover, the left- and rightdiffracting modes flip circular polarization at a transition wavelength between 700-800 nm. This can be exploited to engineer valley-selective control of TMDs in such devices. At shorter wavelengths, the metasurface features a hyperbolic wavefront, while at longer wavelengths it becomes elliptical in nature as shown in row 3. This is a known and exciting topological transition that is typically used for hyperlensing and surfacing applications (56). In row 4, we see the normalized electric field intensity at the x-z plane cross-section of the device, 1.5 μ m away from the source for a similar range of wavelength. Once again, we observe that the MPPs have negligible diffraction for the wavelength range 700-800 nm.

The transition from a hyperbolic to elliptical dispersion can also be observed in the iso-frequency contours, which are derived by calculating the Fourier transform of the simulated field profiles in Fig. S2. Figure S3 shows the iso-frequency contours at 650 nm, 800 nm, and 950 nm. We observe that k_y is almost independent of k_x for the wavelength of 800 nm where diffraction is negligible. At 650 nm, the dispersion is hyperbolic in nature, whereas at 950 nm it is elliptical.

To experimentally characterize the wavelength-dependent diffraction of the MPP, we pump at the center of the top coupler (TC) along the x-direction, i.e., at $x = 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ as shown in Fig. S4. We collect the diffracted beam from the bottom coupler (BC). Figure S4B shows the spatially resolved transmission pattern observed at BC. For this device, we observe that the out-coupled light does not diffract substantially, and mostly comes out at $x = 2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ around 796 nm, whereas the beam diffracts at higher and lower wavelengths, in agreement with previous studies (*31*).

Variation of dispersion with duty cycle

Due to fabrication imperfections, the duty cycle of the MPP device can deviate from the original design parameters. To study this effect, in Fig. S5 we show the change in the MPP dispersion with varying duty cycles. Using the FDTD method, we simulated the iso-frequency contours of the MPP device for (A) w = 65 nm, (B) w = 72.5 nm, (C) w = 80 nm, (D) w = 87.5 nm, and (E) w = 95 nm. In all the simulations we chose period a = 150 nm and height h = 160 nm. One can observe that the wavelength at which the MPPs have minimal diffraction varies strongly with w.

Coupled mode theory

We follow Ref. (39, 57–60) for this section. The dispersion of the MPP can be modeled using coupled mode theory in the weak coupling limit. Figure S6 provides the schematic of the device.

The dielectric function of the periodic metasurface can be written as $\epsilon(x, y, z) = \epsilon_{\text{original}}(x, y, z) + \Delta \epsilon(x, y, z)$, where

$$\Delta \epsilon(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } z > h \\ \epsilon_0(\epsilon_{\text{Air}} - \epsilon_{\text{Ag}})f(x), & \text{for } 0 < z < h \\ 0, & \text{for } z < 0 \end{cases}$$
(S1)

is the perturbation on the original dielectric function $\epsilon_{\text{original}}(x, y, z)$. f(x) is a periodic square-wave modulation along the x-axis such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } 0 < z < w \\ 1, & \text{for } w < z < a \end{cases}$$
(S2)

and f(x) is Bloch-periodic, i.e., f(x + a) = f(x). f(x) can be expressed as a Fourier expansion as:

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_l e^{-i2\pi l x/a},$$
(S3)

such that

$$\phi_l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } l = 0\\ 0, & \text{for } l = \text{even}\\ \frac{i}{l\pi}, & \text{for } l = \text{odd} \end{cases}$$
(S4)

The electric field in this periodic structure can be expressed as a linear combination of the mode in each groove, i.e.,

$$\vec{E}(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{j} a_{j}(y) \vec{E}_{j}(x - ja, z) e^{ik_{j}y},$$
(S5)

where $a_j(y)$ is the amplitude of the transverse electric field profile $\vec{E}_j(x-ja, z)$ of the jth groove, and a is the periodicity in the x-direction. The amplitudes of the normal modes satisfy the relationship:

$$i\frac{da_{j}}{dy} = \sum_{l} \sum_{k} t_{jk}^{(l)} a_{k}(y) e^{i(k_{j} - k_{k} - 2\pi l/a)y},$$
(S6)

where $t_{jk}^{(l)}$ is the coupling constant (or the tunneling amplitude) between modes j and k originating from the lth term in the Fourier expansion of the dielectric function. The exponential term in the differential equation is fast-oscillating and cancels out to zero unless there is resonant coupling between adjacent waveguides that satisfies the relationship $k_j - k_k - 2\pi l/a = 0$. Thus, considering nearest neighbor coupling, we get

$$i\frac{da_j}{dy} = t(a_{j-1}(y) + a_{j+1}(y)).$$
(S7)

The tunneling amplitude is given by $t = \kappa/P$ where (31, 57)

$$\kappa = \frac{\omega}{4} \epsilon_0(\epsilon_{Ag} - \epsilon_{Air}) \int \vec{E}_j^*(x, z) \cdot \vec{E}_k(x, z) \, dx \, dz, \tag{S8}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{4} \int (\vec{E}_j^*(x, z) \times \vec{H}_j(x, z) + \vec{E}_j(x, z) \times \vec{H}_j^*(x, z)) \cdot \hat{y} \, dx \, dz.$$
(S9)

The solution to Eqn. S7 is given by (58, 59):

$$k_{\rm y}(k_x) = k_{\rm wg} + 2t\cos(k_x a),\tag{S10}$$

where k_{wg} is the propagation constant of the waveguide. Depending on the wavelength of light and duty cycle of the metasurface, one can have either positive or negative coupling constant *t* (39, 60). The negative coupling constant *t* gives the hyperbolic dispersion relationship as shown in Fig. S7. This qualitatively matches with the iso-frequency contours simulated using the FDTD method. The group velocity $v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$ lies orthogonal to the iso-frequency contour, and the slope gives the angle of refraction $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\frac{dk_y}{dk_x})$.

The 1D lattice along the x-direction gives rise to the tight-binding expression ~ $\cos(k_x a)$. It is important to note that the momentum along the x- and y-directions are not independent, but phase-locked among adjacent grooves, making the iso-frequency contour relationship resemble a dispersion relationship for the MPP.

Power efficiency calibration and field confinement

Power efficiency calculations:

We simulate the power delivery efficiency to the TMD monolayer (ML) through our MPP device by simulating the time-reversal counterpart using the FDTD method as shown in Fig. S8. We excite the MPP modes in the metasurface using a dipole 10 nm above the surface with efficiency β . The emission wavelength of the dipole is 800 nm.

The exponential decay of $|E|^2$ as a function of vertical distance from the surface of the MPP device is captured in parameter η_z as shown in Fig. S8B. The surface of the MPP device is at z = 160 nm. The TMD is located at a distance of 10 nm above the surface because of the presence of Al₂O₃ to prevent the silver from oxidizing and hBN encapsulation, which yields an $\eta_z = 0.6$, as marked by the dashed vertical line. The hBN encapsulation improves the quality of excitons and separates the monolayer from the metallic surface. We decided to use a 5 nm hBN spacer between the ML and 5 nm thick layer of Al₂O₃ such that the ML still feels a notable part of the electric field intensity. Reducing the thickness of Al₂O₃ and hBN can enhance the efficiency, but might result in lower quality of excitons due to the nearby silver layer.

The MPP modes then propagate along the lattice for 3 μ m. The propagation efficiency is given by η_{prop} . Figure S8C shows the decay of $|E|^2$ as the MPPs propagate in the metasurface lattice (black), in comparison to propagation in a single waveguide of similar dimension (red), or an un-patterned 2D sheet of silver (blue). From this, we estimate a propagation efficiency of $\eta_{\text{prop}} = 0.23$.

Next, the MPPs are coupled to the far-field through the cylindrical couplers. We calculate the coupling efficiency by monitoring the electric field intensity transmitted through monitor M1 (placed 600 nm above the coupler) and monitor M2 (placed immediately before the MPPs reach the coupler). Figure S8D shows the wavelength-dependent coupling efficiency η_c . For 800 nm, we calculate $\eta_c = 0.3$.

Figure S8E shows the normalized electric field intensity in the far-field for 800 nm. Assuming a vertically placed objective of numerical aperture 0.7 (the one used in our experiment), we calculate η_{NA} as the fraction of power inside the numeric aperture. This gives us $\eta_{\text{NA}} = 0.4$.

Considering the different loss mechanisms, we get a total efficiency of $\eta = \beta \times \eta_z \times \eta_{\text{prop}} \times \eta_c \times \eta_{\text{NA}} = 0.33\%$.

Electric field confinement:

To estimate the electric field confinement provided by the MPP device, we monitor the electric field intensity at M1 and M2, as shown in Fig. S9. This gives us a maximum electric field intensity confinement by a factor of ~ 960. However, taking into account the propagation loss and decay of the field intensity away from the surface, an exciton located 3 μ m away from the coupler is expected to experience a field intensity enhancement by a factor of 960 × η_{prop} × $\eta_z \approx 130$. However, this is just an upper bound on the electric field confinement calculated for an ideally placed dipole with a fixed polarization.

Sensitivity to dipole position and polarization:

For the FDTD simulations, we have used an in-plane dipole aligned along the y-axis ($\phi = 90^{\circ}$) to excite the MPP modes. However, we note that the dipoles of the TMD monolayer are located throughout the x-y plane in arbitrary orientations. To estimate the effect of dipole position and orientation, we perform additional FDTD simulations. Figure S10 shows the normalized power transmitted through the MPP device as a function of dipole location along the y-axis. We note that this closely resembles the normalized electric field intensity at the location of the TMD ML as shown by the red curve in Fig. 1B. The inset shows the positions of the dipoles used for the sweep. Figure S10B-C shows the normalized power transmitted through the MPP device as a function of dipole orientation ϕ for a dipole located at $x_{\text{dipole}} = 0$ nm, 75nm respectively. The insets show the normalized electric field intensity $|E|^2$ in the x-z cross-section of the device for different polarizations of the dipole. Figure S10D shows the normalized Stokes parameter $S_1 = |E_x|^2 - |E_z|^2$ above the MPP device for $x_{dipole} = 0$ nm and $\phi = 90^{\circ}$. The electric field is predominantly z-polarized over the silver waveguides, whereas it is x-polarized in the air gaps. The sensitivity of the electric field to different positions and polarizations of dipoles is expected to give a smaller AC Stark shift than what would be expected from the upper bound of the electric field confinement as calculated earlier.

Exciting multiple waveguides in the metasurface

Figure S11 shows the FDTD simulations for the excitation of MPPs in multiple grooves simultaneously in our diffraction-limited excitation scheme. Here we have excited the MPPs in 5 adjacent grooves using 5 identical dipoles. In Fig. S11 we can observe that the TMD experiences a subwavelength periodic modulation of electric field intensity 10 nm above the surface of the MPP device. Figure S11B shows the normalized $|E|^2$ at the x-z cross-section of the PM device. However, we note that in an ideal scenario, the pump beam has a Gaussian spatial profile and that would modify the exact spatial intensity profile. Figure S11C shows the normalized $|E|^2$ monitored in the x-y plane 600 nm above the gratings, and Fig. S8D shows the corresponding far-field radiation pattern. Upon comparisons to their counterparts for a single groove excitation as shown in Fig. S8E and Fig. S9B, we note that exciting multiple grooves do not modify the far-field substantially.

Exciting a single waveguide in the metasurface

The MPP platform also allows us to excite a single groove using a defect coupler, as demonstrated in Ref. (31). This enables one to address a mesoscopic number of excitons on the TMD in a ~ 100 nm scale. To consider the viability of such an excitation scheme, we perform FDTD simulations to estimate the power efficiency as shown in Fig. S12. We perform the reciprocal simulation by exciting the MPPs with a dipole and monitoring the scattered light out of the defect. Figure S12 shows the electric field intensity 10 nm above the surface of a device with a single point-defect coupler. The 90 nm × 90 nm defect is located at x = 2 nm. The inset shows a simplified schematic of the simulated device. Figure S12B shows the propagating MPPs being scattered off the defect coupler from the side view cross-section. In this scheme, η_z and η_{prop} will remain unchanged with respect to our main scheme discussed in the previous sections. The coupling efficiency η_c is calculated to be 19%, and η_{total} is 0.25% (considering NA of 0.7) as shown in Fig. S12.

Another similar method could be using a single nano-pillar as shown in Fig. S13. Using similar simulations as in the case of the defect couplers we estimate $\eta_c = 46\%$ and $\eta_{\text{total}} = 0.7\%$.

Another method to couple light into a single groove is using grating patterns inspired by the Ref. (61). Figure S14 shows a simulation of this scheme. In the simulation, a Gaussian beam is incident on the grating couplers which then excites the MPPs in a single groove. Figure S14 shows a simplified schematic of the device. Figure S14B-C shows the electric field intensity $|E|^2$ for 800 nm at a plane 10 nm above the surface of the device, and at the cross-section of the MPP device, respectively. We perform additional simulations to excite the MPPs in a single groove with a dipole, and for this device architecture we estimate $\eta_c = 30\%$ and $\eta_{\text{total}} = 0.1\%$.

Control experiments

Non-local AC Stark shift on MPP device and on 2D sheet:

To confirm that the observed non-local AC stark shift is exclusively due to MPPs instead of the presence of the tail of a very strong pump laser at the position of the probe, we perform the following control experiments. We start with devices D4 and D2 on the same chip. While D4 has a MoSe₂ monolayer stacked on the MPP device, D2 has a MoSe₂ monolayer stacked on an unpatterned silver sheet. Using the same pump power of 80 mW (i.e. a pump power of 260 μ W at the probing spot for the MPP device), and a pump-probe spatial separation of ~3 μ m, we perform the non-local AC stark shift measurement on both samples by scanning over the time delay of the pump and probe laser pulses as shown in Fig. S15A-B. Importantly, we only observe AC Stark shift in device D4. The absence of AC Stark shift in device D2 is likely due to weaker field confinement and smaller η_{prop} in 2D silver sheets. Moreover, in device D2, we notice a redshift for negative values of Δt , very likely originating from the heating of the sample by the strong pump laser.

Figure S16 shows that a Gaussian pump with an FWHM of 1 μ m has 8 orders of magnitude less intensity 3 μ m away from its peak.

Local AC Stark shift on MPP device:

To confirm the observation of linewidth broadening in the non-local AC Stark shift measurement as a signature of the sub-diffraction modulation of the electric field intensity on the TMD, we also perform local AC Stark shift measurements on the MPP device as shown in Fig. S17. Notably, in this case, the linewidth of the excitons broadens by ~ 1 nm at $\Delta t = 0$ alongside a Stark shift of ~ 0.65 nm. This is in contrast to the absence of linewidth broadening observed in local AC Stark shift measurement. This strongly suggests that the linewidth broadening is a signature of a sub-wavelength modulation of the electric field intensity on the ML due to the presence of the lattice. The excitons that sense a strong electric field intensity have a large AC Stark shift compared to other excitons, leading to the broadening of the linewidth. We measured local AC Stark shift on a TMD ML placed on an unpatterned silica substrate. From Fig. S18 we observe an AC Stark shift of ~ 1.1 nm for a pump power of 60 mW. Importantly, we do not observe any strong modulation of linewidth at $\Delta t = 0$ similar to our observation in local AC Stark shift measurement performed on unpatterned silver.

Pump power and detuning dependence of AC Stark shift:

To confirm the expected linear and inverse dependence of the AC Stark shift on pump power and detuning, respectively (3, 5), we measured the AC Stark shift using a local pump-probe scheme as a function of pump power and detuning. As expected, we find that the AC Stark shift ΔE scales linearly with pump power for a fixed pump wavelength as shown in Fig. S19, since $\Delta E \sim \Omega_{pump}^2$, where Ω_{pump} is the Rabi frequency of the pump. Next, we sweep over the wavelength of the pump laser while keeping its power constant to study the dependence of the AC Stark shift on pump detuning. Figure S19B shows that $\Delta E \sim 1/\delta$ where delta is the detuning of the pump from the exciton resonance frequency.

In Fig. 3B the pump detuning is ~ 107 meV, whereas in Fig. 3E the pump detuning is ~ 90 meV. Considering the linear relationship between the inverse of the detuning and power with the amount of AC Stark shift, the effective pump power for the same shift of ~ 0.65 nm for a detuning of 107 meV is 29.7 mW. Hence, the observed enhancement in AC Stark shift when normalized to detuning is 29.7/0.33 = 90.

Data processing and fitting method

We use a numerical fitting method to extract the center wavelength and linewidth of the exciton from our measured reflectivity data. First, we normalize each reflectivity spectrum by the minimum reflection intensity at the exciton's resonant wavelength. Next, we truncate the normalized spectrum within a range that captures the exciton's resonance. The truncated normalized spectrum is fitted to the function

$$s(\lambda) = (a\lambda + b) - \frac{A\sigma^2}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + \sigma^2}$$

where $a\lambda + b$ is a linear function that captures the background spectrum, and *A*, λ_0 (in nm), σ (in nm) are the amplitude, center wavelength, and linewidth of the Lorentzian exciton, respectively. Figure S20 shows the example of a fitted spectrum along with the extracted parameters.

Power broadening estimation

To ascertain if the high peak power of the pump laser might induce power broadening, we perform the following calculations. Let us assume the pump laser is detuned by Δ and has peak power P_{peak} . This gives us a Rabi frequency of $\Omega = -\vec{d} \cdot \vec{E}/\hbar$, where the electric field $E = \sqrt{\frac{2P_{\text{peak}}}{\epsilon_0 cA}}$. Here, \vec{d} is the dipole of the exciton, \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant, ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, c is the speed of light in vacuum, and A is the cross-sectional area of the laser spot. Next, let the linewidth of the exciton be Γ . Then, the excited state population in the steady-state can be expressed as (62):

$$\rho_e = \frac{\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\Gamma}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}\right)^2}.$$
(S11)

Assuming linewidth $\Gamma = 5$ meV, area $A = 1 \,\mu \text{m}^2$, pulsewidth $\tau_{\text{pulse}} = 150$ fs, repetition rate $f_{\text{rep}} = 80$ MHz, we calculate the excited state population as a function of pump detuning for peak intensities corresponding to average power of P = 100 mW, 50 mW, 10 mW, and 1 mW as shown in Fig. S21. One must note, that for a pulse width of 150 fs, we fully satisfy the rotating wave approximation (RWA), and are in the adiabatic limit. In our Stark shift experiments, we used a detuning of 90-110 meV (marked by grey box). We can observe that ρ_e is negligible at those detunings for the amount of pump power used.

MPP-induced linewidth broadening - AC Stark shift relationship

Utilizing the spatial electric field intensity profile of the MPPs as shown in Fig. 3, we estimate the expected linewidth broadening as a function AC Stark shift arising from the sub-diffraction nature of MPPs. Figure S22 shows the spatial distribution of the normalized AC Stark shift Ω^2/Δ . For

this spatial distribution, we calculate the probability distribution function (pdf) of the AC Stark shift $P(\Omega^2/\Delta)$, as shown by the black curve in Fig. S22B. This pdf modifies the energy of the bare exciton X (red curve) by ΔE and causes a linewidth broadening of $\Delta\Gamma$. The resultant blue-shifted exciton's spectral distribution function is given by the convolution of X and $P(\Omega^2/\Delta)$, and shown by the blue curve. By sweeping over laser power, we find the relationship between $\Delta\Gamma$ and ΔE as shown by the blue line in Fig. S22C. The black dots represent experimentally measured data by performing local AC Stark shift measurement of excitons on an MPP device, and agree well with our theoretical estimation. The red line shows the relationship between $\Delta\Gamma$ and ΔE for a free space optical beam with FWHM of 1 μ m. As observed, the free space optical pump induces negligible linewidth broadening compared to its MPP counterpart.



Figure S1: **Experimental setup.** Schematic of the experimental setup with a microscope image of the sample showing the relative positions of pump and probe beams. In the experimental setup: BS=Beam splitter, HWP=Half waveplate, LP=Linear polarizer, M=Mirror, PBS=Polarizing beam splitter. In the sample: BC=Bottom coupler, TC=Top coupler, WL=Waveguide lattice.



Figure S2: Wavelength dependence of electric field intensity profile. Row 1: Normalized $|E|^2$ monitored in the x-y-plane at 10 nm above the surface of the device for wavelengths between 400-1200 nm. We observe that the electric field does not diffract substantially between 700-800 nm. Row 2: Stokes parameter S_3 corresponding to panels in row 1. The MPP polarity switches signs at a transition wavelength between 700 nm and 800 nm. Row 3: Normalized $|E_z|$ corresponding to panels in rows 1 and 2. The dispersion transitions from hyperbolic to elliptical between 700 nm and 800 nm. Row 4: Normalized $|E|^2$ at the x-z-plane cross-section of the device, positioned 1.5 μ m away from the source.



Figure S3: **Iso-frequency contours of MPP.** The iso-frequency contours of the MPP at 650 nm, 800 nm, and 950 nm.



Figure S4: Wavelength-dependent diffraction in MPP device. (A) Measurement schematic where we shine light at the center of the TC, i.e., at $x = 2.5 \mu m$, and spatially resolve the transmitted light at the BC. (B) The spatially resolved transmission from the BC for different wavelengths.



Figure S5: Dependence of the dispersion on the duty cycle of MPP device. The iso-frequency contours for a MPP with (A) w = 65 nm, (B) w = 72.5 nm, (C) w = 80 nm, (D) w = 87.5 nm, and (E) w = 95 nm, respectively.



Figure S6: Coupled mode theory formalism. (A) Cross-section of the metasurface device. (B) Coupled mode theory gives us $k_y(k_x)$ by assuming weak nearest-neighbor coupling between the grooves.



Figure S7: Iso-frequency contour. Hyperbolic dispersion relation of the metasurface.



Figure S8: Power efficiency calculations. The simulation scheme for estimating the power efficiency of the non-local AC Stark shift scheme. (**A**) The different losses captured by the efficiencies η_z , η_{prop} , η_c , and η_{NA} at 800 nm. (**B**) Decay of $|E|^2$ at 800 nm from the surface of the device at z = 160 nm. The dashed line shows a cut at a distance of 10 nm above the surface, where $\eta_z = 0.6$. (**C**) Decay of $|E|^2$ as the MPPs propagate in the metasurface lattice (black), in comparison to propagation in a single waveguide of similar dimension (red), or an unpatterned 2D sheet of silver (blue). (**D**) The wavelength-dependent coupling efficiency of the MPPs to far-field. (**E**) Far-field emission pattern from monitor M1 in panel A.



Figure S9: Electric field intensity confinement. (A) Electric field intensity $|E|^2$ at monitor M2 in Fig. S8. (B) Electric field intensity $|E|^2$ at monitor M1 in Fig. S8.



Figure S10: Sensitivity to dipole position and polarization. (A) Normalized power transmission through the MPP device as a function of dipole position. (B-C) Normalized power transmission through the MPP device as a function of dipole polarization for dipole positions of $x_{dipole} = 0$ nm, 75nm respectively. (D) Stokes parameter S_1 in the x-y plane at 10 nm above the MPP device surface. For these simulations, we use a dipole with an emission wavelength of $\lambda = 800$ nm.







Figure S12: Sub-diffraction excitation of a single groove using a defect. (A) Electric field intensity 10 nm above the surface of a device with a single point-defect coupler. (B) A side-view showing $|E|^2$ of the propagating MPPs being scattered out of the defect coupler. (C) The wavelength-dependent output coupling efficiency. (D) The far-field emission pattern of the defect coupler.



Figure S13: Sub-diffraction excitation of a single groove using a nano-pillar. (A) Electric field intensity 10 nm above the surface of a device with a single nano-pillar. (B) A side-view showing $|E|^2$ of the propagating MPPs being scattered out of the single nano-pillar. (C) The wavelength-dependent output coupling efficiency. (D) The far-field emission pattern of the single nano-pillar.



Figure S14: **Sub-diffraction excitation of a single groove using a tapered grating.** (A) A schematic of the device to excite a single groove. (B) The electric field intensity profile at 10 nm above the surface of the device. (C) Electric field intensity at the cross-section of the MPP device showing electric field tightly confined to a single groove at 800 nm.



Figure S15: **Non-local AC Stark shift : control experiments.** (A-B) Non-local AC Stark shift measured in devices D4 and D2, respectively. The insets show schematics of the measurement schemes.



Figure S16: **Spatial profile of pump.** The normalized spatial profile of Gaussian pump with an FWHM of 1 μ m.



Figure S17: Local AC Stark shift on MPP device. (A) Local AC Stark shift measured in device D1. (B) Change in linewidth extracted by fitting the spectrum in panel A. The inset shows a schematic of the measurement scheme.



Figure S18: Local AC Stark shift on SiO₂. (A) Local AC Stark shift measured in device D3. (B) Change in linewidth extracted by fitting the spectrum in panel A. The inset shows a schematic of the measurement scheme and the diffraction-limited optical pump.



Figure S19: Pump power and detuning dependence of AC Stark shift. (A) AC Stark shift ΔE as a function of pump power for a fixed pump wavelength. (B) AC Stark shift ΔE as a function of reciprocal pump detuning $1/\delta$ for a fixed pump power.



Figure S20: **Numerical Fitting.** Example of a fitted reflectivity spectrum. The solid black curve is the normalized spectrum, and the red dashed curve is the numerical fit.



Figure S21: Power broadening estimation. Excited state population ρ_e for peak intensities corresponding to the average power of P = 100 mW, 50 mW, 10 mW, and 1 mW respectively. The range of detuning used in our experiments is marked by the grey box.



Figure S22: MPP-induced linewidth broadening - AC Stark shift relationship. (A) Normalized AC Stark shift (~ Ω^2/Δ) as a function of space as experienced by the TMD ML. (B) The probability distribution function of the AC Stark shift (black) shifts the bare exciton X (red) by ΔE and causes a linewidth broadening of $\Delta\Gamma$ (blue). (C) The blue line shows the theoretical expectation of linewidth broadening corresponding to the AC Stark shift. The black dots represent experimental data by performing local AC Stark shift on MPPs.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. De La Torre, D. M. Kennes, M. Claassen, S. Gerber, J. W. McIver, M. A. Sentef, *Colloquium:* Nonthermal pathways to ultrafast control in quantum materials. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 93, 041002 (2021).
- J. Bloch, A. Cavalleri, V. Galitski, M. Hafezi, A. Rubio, Strongly correlated electron–photon systems. *Nature* 606, 41–48 (2022).
- 3. E. J. Sie, J. W. McIver, Y. H. Lee, L. Fu, J. Kong, N. Gedik, Valley-selective optical Stark effect in monolayer WS₂. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 290–294 (2015).
- J. Kim, X. Hong, C. Jin, S.-F. Shi, C.-Y. S. Chang, M.-H. Chiu, L.-J. Li, F. Wang, Ultrafast generation of pseudo-magnetic field for valley excitons in WSe₂ monolayers. *Science* 346, 1205–1208 (2014).
- 5. T. Uto, B. Evrard, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, A. İmamoğlu, Interaction-induced ac Stark shift of exciton-polaron resonances. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 056901 (2024).
- B. Evrard, H. S. Adlong, A. A. Ghita, T. Uto, L. Ciorciaro, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, A. İmamoğlu, Nonlinear spectroscopy of semiconductor moiré materials. arXiv:2402.16630 [cond-mat.str-el] (2024).
- P. D. Cunningham, A. T. Hanbicki, T. L. Reinecke, K. M. McCreary, B. T. Jonker, Resonant optical Stark effect in monolayer WS₂. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5539 (2019).
- T. LaMountain, J. Nelson, E. J. Lenferink, S. H. Amsterdam, A. A. Murthy, H. Zeng, T. J. Marks, V. P. Dravid, M. C. Hersam, N. P. Stern, Valley-selective optical Stark effect of exciton-polaritons in a monolayer semiconductor. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4530 (2021).
- 9. Y. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, N. Gedik, Observation of Floquet-Bloch states on the surface of a topological insulator. *Science* **342**, 453–457 (2013).
- M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 2, 229–244 (2020).

- X. Wang, C. Xiao, H. Park, J. Zhu, C. Wang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Yan, D. Xiao, D. R. Gamelin, W. Yao, X. Xu, Light-induced ferromagnetism in moiré superlattices. *Nature* 604, 468–473 (2022).
- A. S. Disa, J. Curtis, M. Fechner, A. Liu, A. von Hoegen, M. Först, T. F. Nova, P. Narang, A. Maljuk, A. V. Boris, B. Keimer, A. Cavalleri, Photo-induced high-temperature ferromagnetism in YTiO₃. *Nature* 617, 73–78 (2023).
- E. Rowe, B. Yuan, M. Buzzi, G. Jotzu, Y. Zhu, M. Fechner, M. Först, B. Liu, D. Pontiroli, M. Riccò, A. Cavalleri, Resonant enhancement of photo-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀. *Nat. Phys.* **19**, 1821–1826 (2023).
- 14. J. W. McIver, B. Schulte, F. U. Stein, T. Matsuyama, G. Jotzu, G. Meier, A. Cavalleri, Lightinduced anomalous Hall effect in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 38–41 (2020).
- M. Huber, Y. Lin, G. Marini, L. Moreschini, C. Jozwiak, A. Bostwick, M. Calandra, A. Lanzara, Ultrafast creation of a light-induced semimetallic state in strongly excited 1T-TiSe₂. *Sci. Adv.* 10, eadl4481 (2024).
- 16. H. Kim, H. Dehghani, H. Aoki, I. Martin, M. Hafezi, Optical imprinting of superlattices in two-dimensional materials. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 043004 (2020).
- P. Malý, J. Ravensbergen, J. T. M. Kennis, R. van Grondelle, R. Croce, T. Mančal, B. van Oort, Polarization-controlled optimal scatter suppression in transient absorption spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43484 (2017).
- S. Zhang, B. Li, X. Chen, F. L. Ruta, Y. Shao, A. J. Sternbach, A. S. McLeod, Z. Sun, L. Xiong, S. L. Moore, X. Xu, W. Wu, S. Shabani, L. Zhou, Z. Wang, F. Mooshammer, E. Ray, N. Wilson, P. J. Schuck, C. R. Dean, A. N. Pasupathy, M. Lipson, X. Xu, X. Zhu, A. J. Millis, M. Liu, J. C. Hone, D. N. Basov, Nano-spectroscopy of excitons in atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 542 (2022).
- 19. D. K. Gramotnev, S. I. Bozhevolnyi, Plasmonics beyond the diffraction limit. *Nat. Photonics* 4, 83–91 (2010).

- N. I. Zheludev, G. Yuan, Optical superoscillation technologies beyond the diffraction limit. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 4, 16–32 (2022).
- D. N. Basov, M. M. Fogler, F. J. García de Abajo, Polaritons in van der Waals materials. Science 354, aag1992 (2016).
- 22. B. Lee, W. Liu, C. H. Naylor, J. Park, S. C. Malek, J. S. Berger, A. T. C. Johnson, R. Agarwal, Electrical tuning of exciton–plasmon polariton coupling in monolayer MoS₂ integrated with plasmonic nanoantenna lattice. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 4541–4547 (2017).
- 23. M. Zhang, Y. Tian, X. Chen, Z. Sun, X. Zhu, J. Wu, Observation of ultra-large Rabi splitting in the plasmon-exciton polaritons at room temperature. *Nanophotonics* **12**, 3267–3275 (2023).
- 24. S. Wang, S. Li, T. Chervy, A. Shalabney, S. Azzini, E. Orgiu, J. A. Hutchison, C. Genet, P. Samorì, T. W. Ebbesen, Coherent coupling of WS₂ monolayers with metallic photonic nanostructures at room temperature. *Nano Lett.* 16, 4368–4374 (2016).
- 25. Y. Niu, H. Xu, H. Wei, Unified scattering and photoluminescence spectra for strong plasmonexciton coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 167402 (2022).
- D. Zheng, S. Zhang, Q. Deng, M. Kang, P. Nordlander, H. Xu, Manipulating coherent plasmon–exciton interaction in a single silver nanorod on monolayer WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* 17, 3809–3814 (2017).
- 27. Y. Zhou, G. Scuri, D. S. Wild, A. A. High, A. Dibos, L. A. Jauregui, C. Shu, K. De Greve, K. Pistunova, A. Y. Joe, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, P. Kim, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, Probing dark excitons in atomically thin semiconductors via near-field coupling to surface plasmon polaritons. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 856–860 (2017).
- 28. J. Shi, M. H. Lin, I. T. Chen, N. Mohammadi Estakhri, X. Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Y. Chen, C. A. Chen, C. K. Shih, A. Alù, X. Li, Y. H. Lee, S. Gwo, Cascaded exciton energy transfer in a monolayer semiconductor lateral heterostructure assisted by surface plasmon polariton. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 35 (2017).

- Z. Li, X. Y. Zhang, R. Ma, T. Fu, Y. Zeng, C. Hu, Y. Cheng, C. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Feng, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, T. Wang, X. Liu, H. Xu, Versatile optical manipulation of trions, dark excitons and biexcitons through contrasting exciton-photon coupling. *Light Sci. Appl.* 12, 295 (2023).
- A. Poddubny, I. Iorsh, P. Belov, Y. Kivshar, Hyperbolic metamaterials. *Nat. Photonics* 7, 948–957 (2013).
- 31. A. A. High, R. C. Devlin, A. Dibos, M. Polking, D. S. Wild, J. Perczel, N. P. de Leon, M. D. Lukin, H. Park, Visible-frequency hyperbolic metasurface. *Nature* 522, 192–196 (2015).
- 32. J. S. Gomez-Diaz, M. Tymchenko, A. Alu, Hyperbolic plasmons and topological transitions over uniaxial metasurfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 233901 (2015).
- 33. Q. Li, J. H. Song, F. Xu, J. van de Groep, J. Hong, A. Daus, Y. J. Lee, A. C. Johnson, E. Pop, F. Liu, M. L. Brongersma, A Purcell-enabled monolayer semiconductor free-space optical modulator. *Nat. Photonics* 17, 897–903 (2023).
- 34. L. Sun, C. Y. Wang, A. Krasnok, J. Choi, J. Shi, J. S. Gomez-Diaz, A. Zepeda, S. Gwo, C. K. Shih, A. Alù, X. Li, Separation of valley excitons in a MoS₂ monolayer using a subwavelength asymmetric groove array. *Nat. Photonics* 13, 180–184 (2019).
- 35. J. Shi, W.-Y. Liang, S. S. Raja, Y. Sang, X.-Q. Zhang, C.-A. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Yang, Y.-H. Lee, H. Ahn, S. Gwo, Plasmonic enhancement and manipulation of optical nonlinearity in monolayer tungsten disulfide. *Laser Photon. Rev.* 12, 1800188 (2018).
- 36. M.-W. Yu, S. Ishii, S. Li, J.-R. Ku, J.-H. Yang, K.-L. Su, T. Taniguchi, T. Nagao, K.-P. Chen, Quantifying photoinduced carriers transport in exciton–polariton coupling of MoS₂ monolayers. npj 2D. *Mater. Appl.* 5, 47 (2021).
- 37. Y. Zhang, H.-J. Schill, S. Irsen, S. Linden, Strong coupling between WS₂ monolayer excitons and a hybrid plasmon polariton at room temperature. *Nanophotonics* 13, 2847–2856 (2024).
- H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morandotti, J. S. Aitchison, Diffraction management. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 1863–1866 (2000).

- 39. X. Fan, G. P. Wang, J. C. W. Lee, C. T. Chan, All-angle broadband negative refraction of metal waveguide arrays in the visible range: Theoretical analysis and numerical demonstration. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 073901 (2006).
- 40. J. Duan, G. Álvarez-Pérez, C. Lanza, K. Voronin, A. I. F. Tresguerres-Mata, N. Capote-Robayna, J. Álvarez-Cuervo, A. Tarazaga Martín-Luengo, J. Martín-Sánchez, V. S. Volkov, A. Y. Nikitin, P. Alonso-González, Multiple and spectrally robust photonic magic angles in reconfigurable α-MoO₃ trilayers. *Nat. Mater.* 22, 867–872 (2023).
- 41. M. Obst, T. Nörenberg, G. Álvarez-Pérez, T. V. A. G. de Oliveira, J. Taboada-Gutiérrez, F. H. Feres, F. G. Kaps, O. Hatem, A. Luferau, A. Y. Nikitin, J. M. Klopf, P. Alonso-González, S. C. Kehr, L. M. Eng, Terahertz twistoptics–engineering canalized phonon polaritons. *ACS Nano* 17, 19313–19322 (2023).
- 42. A. Pizzuto, E. Castro-Camus, W. Wilson, W. Choi, X. Li, D. M. Mittleman, Nonlocal timeresolved terahertz spectroscopy in the near field. *ACS photonics* **8**, 2904–2911 (2021).
- 43. H. Dehghani, M. Hafezi, P. Ghaemi, Light-induced topological superconductivity via Floquet interaction engineering. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 023039 (2021).
- 44. X. Zhang, T. Carbin, A. B. Culver, K. du, K. Wang, S. W. Cheong, R. Roy, A. Kogar, Lightinduced electronic polarization in antiferromagnetic Cr₂O₃. *Nat. Mater.* **23**, 790–795 (2024).
- 45. S. W. Lee, J. S. Lee, W. H. Choi, D. Choi, S.-H. Gong, Ultra-compact exciton polariton modulator based on van der Waals semiconductors. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 2331 (2024).
- 46. G. Kipp, H. M. Bretscher, B. Schulte, D. Herrmann, K. Kusyak, M. W. Day, S. Kesavan, T. Matsuyama, X. Li, S. M. Langner, J. Hagelstein, F. Sturm, A. M. Potts, C. J. Eckhardt, Y. Huang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Rubio, D. M. Kennes, M. A. Sentef, E. Baudin, G. Meier, M. H. Michael, J. W. McIver, Cavity electrodynamics of van der Waals heterostructures. arXiv:2403.19745 [cond-mat.mes-hall] (2024).

- 47. F. Tabataba-Vakili, L. Krelle, L. Husel, H. P. G. Nguyen, Z. Li, I. Bilgin, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Högele, Metasurface of strongly coupled excitons and plasmonic arrays. arXiv:2402.09037 [physics.optics] (2024).
- 48. K. Frischwasser, K. Cohen, J. Kher-Alden, S. Dolev, S. Tsesses, G. Bartal, Real-time subwavelength imaging of surface waves with nonlinear near-field optical microscopy. *Nat. Photonics* 15, 442–448 (2021).
- 49. T. Vincent, Scanning near-field infrared microscopy. Nat. Rev. Phys. 3, 537 (2021).
- 50. E. Betzig, J. K. Trautman, Near-field optics: Microscopy, spectroscopy, and surface modification beyond the diffraction limit. *Science* **257**, 189–195 (1992).
- F. Schlawin, D. M. Kennes, M. A. Sentef, Cavity quantum materials. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 9, 011312 (2022).
- 52. F. J. Garcia-Vidal, C. Ciuti, T. W. Ebbesen, Manipulating matter by strong coupling to vacuum fields. *Science* **373**, eabd0336 (2021).
- 53. C. L. Cortes, Z. Jacob, Super-Coulombic atom-atom interactions in hyperbolic media. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14144 (2017).
- 54. A. K. Boddeti, Y. Wang, X. G. Juarez, A. Boltasseva, T. W. Odom, V. Shalaev, H. Alaeian, Z. Jacob, Reducing effective system dimensionality with long-range collective dipole-dipole interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 173803 (2024).
- 55. E. E. Narimanov, E. A. Demler, Hyperbolic quantum processor. arXiv:2412.14098 [quant-ph] (2024).
- 56. P. Li, G. Hu, I. Dolado, M. Tymchenko, C. W. Qiu, F. J. Alfaro-Mozaz, F. Casanova, L. E. Hueso, S. Liu, J. H. Edgar, S. Vélez, A. Alu, R. Hillenbrand, Collective near-field coupling and nonlocal phenomena in infrared-phononic metasurfaces for nano-light canalization. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3663 (2020).

- 57. A. Yariv, P. Yeh, *Photonics: Optical Electronics in Modern Communications* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2007), vol. 6.
- 58. T. Pertsch, T. Zentgraf, U. Peschel, A. Bräuer, F. Lederer, Anomalous refraction and diffraction in discrete optical systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 093901 (2002).
- M. Conforti, M. Guasoni, C. De Angelis, Subwavelength diffraction management. *Opt. Lett.* 33, 2662–2664 (2008).
- 60. A. Locatelli, M. Conforti, D. Modotto, C. De Angelis, Diffraction engineering in arrays of photonic crystal waveguides. *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 2894–2896 (2005).
- 61. Q. Cheng, Y. Pan, Q. Wang, T. Li, S. Zhu, Topologically protected interface mode in plasmonic waveguide arrays. *Laser Photon. Rev.* **9**, 392–398 (2015).
- D. A. Steck, *Quantum and Atom Optics* http://steck.us/teaching(revision 0.8.3) [accessed 25 May 2012].